APR 1 6 2015

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA BIG STONE GAP DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	Case No. 2:12CR00001-004
V.	)	<b>OPINION</b>
EDWARD WILLIAM WALKER, IV,	)	By: James P. Jones
Defendant.	)	United States District Judge

Nancy C. Dickenson, Assistant Federal Public Defender, Abingdon, Virginia, for Defendant.

The defendant, a federal inmate sentenced by this court, has filed a motion for a sentence reduction pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), based upon the two-level reduction in the drug guideline ranges adopted by Amendment 782 to the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual ("USSG") and made retroactive to the defendant's case by USSG § 1B1.10(d).

The court may reduce the term of imprisonment of a defendant made eligible under § 1B1.10, "after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a) to the extent they are applicable." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). "Whether to reduce a sentence and to what extent is a matter within the district court's discretion." United States v. Smalls, 720 F.3d 193, 195 (4th Cir. 2013). In addition to the §

3553(a) factors, the court may consider public safety concerns as well as the

defendant's post-sentencing conduct. USSG § 1B1.10 cmt. 1(B) (ii), (iii).

When originally sentenced, the defendant was determined to have an advisory imprisonment range of 70 months to 87 months, based upon an Offense

Level of 23, with a Criminal History Category of IV. The defendant was

sentenced to 84 months of incarceration, at the high end of that range. Because of

Amendment 782, the new guideline range applicable to the defendant is 57 months

to 71 months, based upon a new Offense Level of 21.

As required, I have considered all of the relevant factors, including those

emphasized in the defendant's motion. While I find that it is appropriate to reduce

the defendant's sentence, I believe that a sentence of 71 months is appropriate in

light of the defendant's prior criminal history and other characteristics.

reduced sentence is comparable to the defendant's original sentence, and is a

sufficient but not greater than necessary sentence, even in light of the defendant's

post-sentencing conduct and rehabilitation efforts.

A separate Order will be entered herewith.

ENTER: 4/15/15